

Coprophilous Fungi Recovered from Dung of Herbivore and Their Correlation with Physio-Chemical Factors

Shubha Pandey, Department of Botany, University of
Lucknow, Lucknow,

J.K. Mishra, SLM Bhartiya Vidya Bhawan Girls Degree
College, Lucknow

ABSTRACT:

The ecological studies of fungi occurring in different habitats/substrates have enough been done both by Indians and foreign investigators. *Schreiners and Mihara 2009*; *Becerra et al 2009*; *Thind et al 2009*. Ecological studies were undertaken for Buffalo dung. Dung Samples were collected as fresh as possible, periodically and relates to seasonal variation in fungal flora and their correlation with some Physio chemical factors analysed for dung. Fungi recovered from dung yielded different fungal forms in which Ascomycota were dominant fungal flora of dung of Buffalo. Dung shows floral variation and variation in chemical factors considered also exhibited variations.

KEYWORDS:

Coprophilous, Physiochemical, correlation, sporulation

INTRODUCTION:

The studies on coprophilous fungi done so far by both Indians and foreign investigators, *Gams et al; 1984*; *Pfister; 1994*, *Webster et al; 1998*; *Weber & Webster 1998*; *Webster 2000*; *Bellis et al 2007*; *Kilgore et al; 2009*. The Ecological studies on coprophilous fungi have not done so much. This paper deals the Ecological studies for the fungi recovered from Buffalo dung relates to the seasonal variation in fungal flora and their correlation with some physiochemical factors analyzed.

MATERIAL AND METHODS:

Dung samples were periodically collected as fresh as possible with sterilized spatula and placed in sterilized plastic bags with all possible care. Dung samples were subjected to some physicochemical factors like pH, Na, K, electrical conductivity and moisture content Air temperature and atmospheric humidity data of the day were also recorded and correlates with fungi and other factors.

HYDROGEN ION CONCENTRATION:

Dung samples were mixed with distilled water (1:2) and Hydrogen ion concentration was recorded with the help of Portable pH meter, Hanna instruments England.

ELECTRICAL CONDUCTANCE:

The dung samples were made in distilled water (1:2) and electrical conductivity were estimated with the help of Deluxe conductivity meter Model 601E.

SODIUM AND POTASSIUM:

Sodium and Potassium were estimated by Flame photometrically using EEL Flame Photometer of Evans Electro Selenium Ltd. Halstead, Essex, England.

MOISTURE CONTENT: Present moisture content was estimated by drying the fresh dung in an oven for 24 hrs. at 60°C.

OBSERVATION:

Fungi recovered from Buffalo dung have been listed in table-1 As would be evident from the table that Buffalo dung yielded 51 fungal forms. Members of Ascomycota dominated the fungal flora of dung. The members of fungi recovered has shown correlation with some physiochemical factors like air temperature has shown negative and significant to highly significant correlation with the fungi recovered from dung ($r = -0.727$) with the number of fungi sodium bears positive and significant correlation ($r = 0.715$) with the fungi recovered from dung. Potassium has not exhibited any correlation with the dung studied. The highest maximum air temperature was noted in April and May (39°C) and maximum lowest in month of December and January (25°C and 23°C). The lowest minimum air temperature was noted in January (6.4°C) and the highest minimum temperature (26°C) was in June. The atmospheric relative humidity was highest in July (95%) while lowest was recorded in April (56%). The hydrogen ion concentration recorded for Buffalo dung was lowest (6.9) in May and highest (8.3) was in November and January. The moisture content was lowest in August (76%) and highest in January (88.7%). Electrical Conductivity recorded for dung was lowest in May (0.533 $\mu\text{mhos/cm}$) and highest was in the month of September and October (1.06 $\mu\text{mhos/cm}$). Potassium has been the lowest (130 $\text{mg}\mu$) in month of February and highest (240 $\text{mg}\mu$) was in November. Sodium was recorded lowest in the month of May and July (30 $\text{mg}\mu$) while highest Sodium was in the month of January (88 $\text{mg}\mu$). Among the factors correlation has also been observed. The hydrogen ion concentration has negative and highly significant correlation with air temperature. Relative humidity of air bears negative and significant correlation ($r = 0.576$) with temperature. Moisture content bears positive and significant ($r = 0.501$) with pH and negative and significant ($r = -0.510$) with air temperature. Electrical conductivity has positive and significant correlation ($r = 0.659$) with Potassium. Sodium exhibits positive and significant correlation with pH ($r = 0.626$) and negative and highly significant correlation with air temperature ($r = -0.821$). Potassium shows negative and significant correlation ($r = -0.538$) with air temperature.

DISCUSSION:

There is very little information available with regards to ecological studies of coprophilous in India (*Lodha 1974*). However ecological studies of fungi from some other substrates and habitats and some ecological studies on coprophilous fungi from abroad (*Harper & Webster 1964*; *Nagy & However, 1979*; *Wickblow & Angel, 1980*) that are in Print Justify and support the present observation that fungi

show variation in their number and group they belong to, depending upon the substrate dung type they have been sought for. The fungi exhibit seasonal variation also (Mishra, 1980; Shukla, 1987) Hashing 2002; Tiwari, 2002; Bahoray, 2003; Pant, 2009). In present study varying fungi in different months were isolated indicating that there by that they vary in

number in different months of the year. As regards the chemical factors studied for the dung the relationships were seen between the fungal numbers and the Hydrogen ion concentration and sodium. pH effects flora it has positive and highly significant correlation with the fungal number. Moisture and pH have correlation among themselves.

Table -1. Fungi recovered from Buffalo dung during a year.

Fungi/Months	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	July	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
<i>Alternaria diathicola</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
<i>Arthrotrrys elegans</i>	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Arthrotrrys oligospora</i>	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Arthrotrrys thaumasia</i>	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Ascobolus albidus</i>	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-
<i>Ascobolus amoenus</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
<i>Ascobolus crenulatus</i>	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
<i>Ascobolus furfuraceus</i>	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	+
<i>Ascobolus immerses</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
<i>Ascobolus stictoides</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
<i>Ascoulous sp. 1</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-
<i>Ascoulous sp. 2</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-
<i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+
<i>Cephalophora irregularis</i>	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Cercophora mirabilis</i>	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Chaetomium brasiliense</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
<i>Chaetomium spinosum</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
<i>Chilymenia theleboloides</i>	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Circinella simplex</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Circinella spinosa</i>	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Coprinus comatus</i>	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Coprinus filamentifer</i>	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Coprinus radiates</i>	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Dactylaria brochophaga</i>	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Dactylaria bembicodes</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
<i>Fusarium sp.</i>	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Gonytrichum sp.</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
<i>Helicostylum lucknowense</i>	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Isaria brachiate</i>	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Kernia nitidia</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
<i>Lasiobolus ciliates</i>	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Mucor disperses</i>	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Mucor mucedo</i>	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-
<i>Neocosmospora tenuicristata</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-
<i>Oidiodendron sp</i>	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Peziza vesiculosa</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Pilobolus crystallinus</i>	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
<i>Pilobolus intermedius</i>	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Pilobolus longipes</i>	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Piptocephalis debaryana</i>	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Podospora pectinata</i>	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Podospora pyriformis</i>	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Preussia cylindrispora</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Rhopalomyces elegans</i>	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Saccobolus minimus</i>	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Saccobolus versicolor</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-

<i>Sordaria fimicola</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Stryanus sp.</i>	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
<i>Thielavia ampullata</i>	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-
<i>Zygopleurage zygospora</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-
Unknown 10	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	12	7	11	10	7	6	4	6	5	3	18	12

Table-2: Physico Chemical Factors Studied during the Year

Month Factors	Jan	Feb	Mar	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
Max Temp	23.4	26.1	29.2	39	39.6	36.9	33.5	34	29.4	32.7	28.8	24.8
Min. Temp	6.4	12.5	14	22.2	25	25.7	24.7	24.4	21.9	20.5	13.8	9.98
Rel. Humi	83.2	88.1	72.1	55.9	71.9	76	94.7	90.1	91.5	80.9	87.4	91.4
Hydrogen Ion Concentration	8.3	7.8	7.5	7.3	6.9	7.4	7.3	7.2	6.7	7.4	8.3	7.8
Moisture Content (%)	88.7	84	85	83	85	86	82.3	76	81.1	82.5	84	84
Electrical Conductivity (μ mhos / cm)	0.95	1	1.03	0.96	0.53	0.68	0.91	0.92	1.06	1.06	1.02	0.93
Potassium (mg/l)	150	130	224	198	116	150	161	184	192	212	240	209
Sodium (mg/l)	88	62	70	52	30	56	30	52	68	42	72	78

Table -3 Correlation coefficient (r) among the parameters and the fungi recovered from the Buffalo dung

Parameters	Fungi	Moisture Content %	Electrical Conductivity	K	Na	pH	Humidity %	Temperature Minimum	Temperature Maximum
Fungi		0.361	0.139	0.374	0.615*	0.727**	-0.041	-0.649*	-0.303
Moisture Content %		-	-0.239	-0.243	0.359	0.501*	-0.297	-0.510*	-0.086
Electrical Conductivity			-	0.659*	0.476	0.304	0.293	-0.457	-0.5
K				-	0.347	0.216	0.01	-0.216	-0.538*
Na					-	0.626*	0.163	-0.821**	-0.358
pH						-	0.154	-0.805**	-0.141
Humidity %							-	-0.195	-0.129
Temperature Minimum								-	0.187
Temperature Maximum									

*** P < 0.05 and P < 0.01, respectively.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:

We express a deep sense of gratitude to Dr. R.R. Singh Ex Head, Department of Botany, University of Lucknow, Lucknow for his encouragement and guidance. We also thanks to Dr. Anshul Pant who helped me in many ways during the progress and completion of work. Special thanks to Dr. R.K. Lal, CIMAP, for helping in the statistical analysis.

REFERENCES:

- Becerra, A.G., Cabello, M., Zak, M.R. & Bartoloni, N. (2009). Arbuscular mycorrhizae of dominant plant species in yungas forests Argentina. *Mycologia*, 101 (5) : 612 – 621
- Bellis, T.D., Kernaghan, G. & Widden, P. (2007). Plant communities influences on soil microfungus assemblages in boreal mixed wood forest. *Mycologia*, 99 (3) : 356 – 367.
- Gams, W. Fisher, P. J. Webster; J (1984) *Onychophora*, a new genus of Phialidic hypomycetes from dung. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society*, 82 : 174 – 177.
- Harper, J.E. & Webster, J (1964). An experimental analysis of coprophilous fungus succession. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society*, 47 : 511 – 530.
- Hashim, I. (2002) Aeromycology of Flour Mills in Lucknow and their Allergic potentialities. A Ph. D Thesis, submitted to the University of Lucknow, Lucknow 115 PP.
- Kilgore, C.M., Keller, H.W. & Ely, J.S. (2009). Aerial reproductive structures of vascular plants as a microhabitat for myxomycetes *Mycologia* 101(3) : 305 – 319.
- Lodha, B.C. (1974). Decomposition of digested litter. P 883 – 885. In : *Biology of Plant Litter Decomposition Vol. 1* C.H. Dickison & G.J.H. Pugh (Eds.) Academic Press, New York.
- Misra, J.K. (1980). Taxonomic and Ecological studies on the Aquatic Mycoflora of Alkaline soils and ponds of India Ph. D Thesis submitted to the University of Lucknow. Lucknow 218 PP.
- Nagy, L.A. & Harrower, K.M. (1979). Analysis of two Southern Hemisphere coprophilous fungus successions. *Transaction of the British Mycological Society* 54 : 161 – 180.
- Pant, Anshul (2009). Study of fungi from polluted and non-polluted Aquatic Environment. Ph. D Thesis submitted to the University of Lucknow, Lucknow. India 219 PP.
- Pfister, D.H. (1994). *Orbillia fimicola*, a nematophagus discomycete and its *Arthrotrichum anamorph*. *Mycologia*, 86 : 451 – 453.
- Schreiner, R.P. & Mihara, K.L. (2009). The diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi amplified from grapevines roots (*Vitis vinifera* L) in Oregon. Vineyard seasonally stable and influenced by soil and vineage. *Mycologia* 101 (50) : 599 – 611.
- Shukla, P. (1987). Aeromycoflora of cobbler shops and Tanneries in Uttar Pradesh and their Allergenic Potentialities Ph. D. Thesis submitted to the University of Lucknow, Lucknow India, 231 PP.
- Thind, W.K.K., Stephenson S.L., Jeewan, R., Lumyong, S. & Hyde, K.D. (2009). Molecular diversity of Myxomycetes associated with decaying wood and forest floor leaf litter, *Mycologia*, 101(5) : 592 – 598.
- Tiwari, V.K. (2002). Taxonomy, Ecology and Allergic Diseases caused by Fungi of Ginneries. Ph. D. Thesis submitted to the University of Lucknow, Lucknow India 168 PP.
- Weber R.W.S. & Webster, J. (1998). Stimulation of growth and reproduction in *Sphaeromemella fimicola* by other coprophilous fungi. *Mycologia Research*, 102 : 115 – 120.

- Webster, J., Henrici, A. & Spooner, B. (1998). *Orbillia fimicoloids* sp. nov., The Teleomorph of *Dactylella Oxyspora*. *Mycological Research* 102 (1) : 99 - 102.
- Webster J. (2000). Some advances in Fungal Ecology over the past Fifty year, P. 01 – 11. In : *Ecology of Fungi*, D.J. Bhat and S. Raghu Kumar (Eds.), Goa University, Goa, India.
- Webster, J. & Weber, E. (2000) Rhizomorphs and Perithelial Stromata of *Podosordaria tulasnei* (Xylariaceal) *Mycologia*, 140 : 41 – 44.